

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,488	02/11/2002	George Jyh-Shann Chou	17714 (MRM 13417US91)	6030
7590 11/18/2004 Tyco Electronics Corporation 307 Constitution Drive			EXAMINER	
			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
MS R20/2B Menlo Park, CA 94025		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1 71025		1742	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application		Mea
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/073,488	CHOU ET AL.	
Jine Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE AND	George P Wyszomierski	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repely within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commi	unication.
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u>040902</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the me	nits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-15,17 and 18</u> is/are pending in t	he application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	dwn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 13</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-12,14,15,17 and 18</u> is/are objecte	d to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/			•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cented or h) O objected to be	16 - F	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) he hold in showense	the Examiner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	flice Action or form DTO 44	121(d).
	- The and an analysis of the a	ince Action of form P10-15	02.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl	ication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage	÷
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.	
Attaches - v.(/-)	•		÷
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		,
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) nil Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/073,488

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Neuman (U.S. Patent 6,226,862). This is a new ground of rejection.

Column 5 of Neuman discloses a process which includes forming a plurality of electrical contact materials on a substrate which is relatively insensitive to inductive heating, and performing an induction heating step upon such a structure.

Neuman does not specifically state that one will heat "different first and second portions... by different first and second amounts" or "induction heating...by different first and second amounts" as required by instant claims 1 and 13 respectively. The examiner's position is that a differential treatment of various portions of a heated material is inherent in the prior art process, i.e. some portions of the material will inevitably be closer to the heat source than other portions and thus will receive a greater amount of heat than portions further from the heat source. Therefore, the claimed invention is held to be fully disclosed by Neuman.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,488

Art Unit: 1742

Alternatively, the examiner's position is that one of ordinary skill in the metallurgical arts seeks to minimize the amounts of heat necessary to achieve a desired result in a heat treatment process, i.e. to minimize the amount of heat produced and the amount of energy consumed in a given process. Therefore it would have been considered an obvious expedient by one of ordinary skill in the art to only treat certain desired portions of a metal in a prior art heat treatment process, such as that of Neuman. Thus, at a minimum, the disclosure of Neuman is held to create a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

- 3. The response of September 2, 2004 included an explanation of Applicant's interpretation of the term "electrical contact' as used in the present invention, and the materials used in the previously cited Detert reference clearly do not meet such an interpretation. Thus, the previous rejection based on Detert is withdrawn. The disclosure of Neuman is clearly more relevant to the claimed invention than any previously cited prior art.
- 4. Claims 4-12, 14, 15, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose or suggest treating to result in the properties defined in claims 4 or 17, nor does the prior art disclose treating under the conditions as defined in claims 5-12, 14, 15 and 18.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,488

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. Effective October 1, 2003, all patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the central facsimile number, (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI THIMABY FXAMINER

GPW November 16, 2004